

# **HAYABUSA Sample Investigator's Guidebook**

## **For the 3rd International Announcement of Opportunity**

January 16, 2015

### **HAYABUSA samples for the 3rd International Announcement of Opportunity**

The Implementation Committee for the 3rd International Announcement of Opportunity (AO) will handle the peer-review process by which HAYABUSA sample investigation proposals will be selected. These guidelines are intended to help researchers who plan to submit proposals in response to the AO.

The key milestones for the 3rd International HAYABUSA A/O are as follows.

Announcement of Opportunity	<u>January 16, 2015</u>
Start of receiving proposals	<u>February 13, 2015</u>
Due date for proposals	<u>March 6, 2015 (1500UT)</u>
Completion of review	<u>late May 2015</u>

**Note:** All samples (or a Sample Information Sheet for consumed samples) allocated in the 1st and 2nd International AO must be returned before July 31, 2015, which is a month before the ending date of the sample allocation for the 3rd International AO. A successful proposal may be turned down if the PI of the proposal has not returned samples by this date.

All proposals sent to the International AO Committee will have referees assigned. The AO Committee, which consists of approximately ten scientists from diverse fields, will refer to the referee reports to assess the scientific merit of each proposal. A higher priority will be given to proposals that take advantage of the unique attributes of the HAYABUSA samples, for example, non-exposure to the Earth's air, pristine memory of the surface environment of the asteroid, the first regolith sample from an asteroid, and so on. The proposer's demonstrated capability of handling and analyzing tiny particles and access to the required analytical facilities, the availability of the requested samples, and the feasibility of the proposed investigation will also be assessed. Forming consortia

to maximize scientific output is especially encouraged for studies that will result in damage or destruction of the samples. The Committee will make the final selection of the successful proposals.

A maximum of five samples can be allocated to a proposed investigation. Based on the requirements of the proposed investigation, a proposer should select samples adequate for their research and indicate in the proposal the sample IDs of the selected samples. While the maximum number of samples to be allocated is five, a proposer can select up to 10 sample IDs to be indicated in the proposal. Listing additional samples and indicating the priority of each sample ID are very useful if an alternate sample needs to be allocated.

The AO committee will decide which samples are to be allocated based on the proposal evaluation and the priority indicated by the proposers.

## Record of the 1st International AO

For the 1st International AO, the AO committee received 31 proposals and selected 17 proposals for sample allocation. Sixty-two samples were distributed to the investigators. Among these, 36 were newly retrieved particles while 26 were particles returned from Initial Analysis. The successful proposals are as follows.

PI	Title
Evelyn Füri	Noble gas (He-Ne-Ar) and nitrogen study of asteroidal dust grains returned by the Hayabusa mission
Hugues Leroux	Transmission electron microscopy study of (1) crystal defects in olivine and high-Ca pyroxene (2) Fe-Mg ordering in low-Ca pyroxene
Noriko Kita, Daisuke Nakashima	High-precision oxygen isotope analyses of Itokawa silicate mineral
Lindsay P. Keller	Coordinated Analysis of Hayabusa Mission Samples
Takaaki Noguchi	An in-depth analysis of space weathering on Itokawa
Akira Tsuchiyama	Surface and 3D structures of Itokawa regolith particles for understanding surface processes on Itokawa
Thomas J. Zega	Microstructure and chemistry of Hayabusa soils
Tomoki Nakamura	Temperature, pressure and timing of a catastrophic impact event experienced by the Itokawa parent asteroid
Kunihiko Nishiizumi	Measurements of Cosmic-Ray Produced Nuclides in Hayabusa Samples
Fred Jordan	Argon isotopic compositions of Itokawa grains: Insights into the impact history and exposure ages of a rubble-pile LL chondrite asteroid
Wataru Fujiya	Irradiation history and solar wind composition recorded in the HAYABUSA samples inferred from light element and noble gas analyses
Cécile Engrand, Lydie Bonal	Multidisciplinary investigations of Itokawa samples returned by the HAYABUSA mission
Keisuke Nagao	Noble gas study combined with TEM and reflectance spectroscopic observations for further understanding of regolith processes on Itokawa
Henner Busemann	The characterisation of the asteroid Itokawa regolith - A correlated study by X-ray tomography, micro-Raman spectroscopy and high-sensitivity noble gas analysis
Falko Langenhorst	Shock metamorphism and space weathering of HAYABUSA regolith – Clues to the collisional history of an asteroid surface
Takashi Mikouchi	Mineralogy and crystallography of Hayabusa particles by synchrotron radiation X-ray and electron beam analyses: Reconstruction of Itokawa parent asteroid(s)
Monica M. Grady	Relationship between comets, asteroids, and meteorites

## Record of the 2nd International AO

For the 2nd International AO, the AO committee received 18 proposals and selected 16 proposals for sample allocation. Fifty samples were distributed to the investigators. Among these, 43 were newly retrieved particles while 7 were particles returned from Initial Analysis. The successful proposals are as follows.

PI	Title
Wataru Fujiya	Extended study on the irradiation history of the Itokawa asteroid by light element and noble gas analyses
Henner Busemann	Unraveling the history of asteroid Itokawa's regolith - by Raman and FTIR spectroscopy X-ray tomography and noble gas analysis
Kunihiko Nishiizumi	Studies of Itokawa's Surface Exposure by Measurements of Cosmic-Ray Produced Nuclides
Kentaro Terada	Chronological studies on Itokawa particles
Jisun Park	$^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ Age-Dating Studies of the Regolith of Asteroid 25143 Itokawa
Keisuke Nagao	Solar cosmogenic and trapped noble gas study of individual Hayabusa grains for further understanding of regolith processes on Itokawa
Tomoki Nakamura	The nature of a catastrophic impact event experienced by the Itokawa parent asteroid
Takaaki Noguchi	Unveil the irradiation history of each Itokawa particle: A combined study of transmission electron microscopy and noble gas mass spectrometry
Takaaki Noguchi	High-resolution three-dimensional structure of blisters on an Itokawa sample: Scanning transmission electron microscopy characterization using computed tomography
Akira Tsuchiyama	Studies of surface processes on Itokawa by systematic examination of surface features of Itokawa regolith particles
Arnold Gucsik	Clarification of shock history of asteroid Itokawa using cathodoluminescence and micro-Raman spectroscopy
Hisayoshi Yurimoto	Space weathering analysis of Itokawa particles by in-situ three dimensional noble gas distribution using novel sputtered neutral mass spectrometry
Ryan Ogliore	O & $^{26}\text{Al}$ - $^{26}\text{Mg}$ isotope systematics space-weathering effects and detailed characterization of Hayabusa samples
Edward Cloutis	Single grain reflectance spectroscopy of Hayabusa mineral grains
Mutsumi Komatsu	Detailed examination on metamorphic grades of Itokawa particles: Relation to their original asteroid (s?)
Fabrice Cipriani	Investigation of regolith grains properties under simulated space environments

### **General notes on HAYABUSA samples**

A summary of the sample retrieval activity is given here. It includes information on samples that are not available for the 3rd International AO but which may be available in future opportunities.

The characteristics of particles available for the 3rd International AO are mostly the same as those for the 2nd International AO except that Category 3 particles are now included. The majority of the particles range in size from 20 to 50 micrometers. Category 1 and 2 particles have been identified as silicate type. Category 3 particles are identified as those including carbon. These categorizations is performed via SEM/EDX analysis by the Curator. Category 1 and 2 particles are thus all dominated by silicate minerals and are the typical particles found in the sample catcher.

The sample catcher is composed of two rooms, Room A and Room B. For Room A, three types of retrieval method were employed: Method (1), scratching by a Teflon spatula; Method (2), direct pick-up by a probe; Method (3), free-fall onto a quartz disk. For Room B, methods (2) and (3) were employed.

Of the 3,300 particles recovered from Room A, 1,500 were confirmed by method (1) to be silicate type. These very tiny particles (mostly smaller than 10 micrometers) are attached to a Teflon spatula, and we have not yet tried to remove them.

More than 100 particles from Room A and the top cover of Room B were collected by method (2). Method (2) was tried for Room A before method (3) was tried. The top cover of Room B was separated from the sample container before the particles inside the container were dropped onto a quartz disk by method (3). Method (2) is much easier to apply to the top cover than to the container itself because of the flat geometry of the cover compared to the complicated three-dimensional structure of the container. The particles, however, are much less visible than particles on a quartz disk because of the cover's rough surface.

We had not known how many more particles remain attached to the top cover of Room B. After the 2nd International AO, we succeeded to directly observe the surface of the top cover of Room B using SEM/EDX. Until now we observed one-third of the area of the top cover of Room B and confirm the presence of about 1,000 particles that are larger than 15 micrometers. The result of direct SEM/EDX observation of the top cover

is reported in the following paper.

***References of SEM/EDX observation***

Yada et al. (2014) MetSoc abstract #5239

It is estimated that about 1,000 particles from Room A and 500 particles from Room B were deposited onto quartz disks. Approximately half of these are considered contaminant particles (mostly Al debris from the catcher surface). Room A particles range in size from 10 to 300 micrometers; Room B particles range from 10 to 200 micrometers. Of these particles, more than 100 were collected and subjected to SEM/EDX analysis (including a small number of particles that were lost afterwards). Of these, some particles were submitted to the Initial Analysis.

It is not presently clear how many more particles remain unrecovered inside the sample catcher.

Preliminary characterization based on the SEM/EDX analysis permits sorting of the recovered samples into four categories.

- Category 1: Particles with only ferromagnesian silicate composition features.
- Category 2: Particles with ferromagnesian silicate plus other mineral features, such as metals, sulfides, and oxides.
- Category 3: Particles with mainly carbon signatures.
- Category 4: Particles likely to be contaminants, namely, debris from the parts within the sample catcher, showing composition features such as Al, quartz glass, and stainless steel.

Category 1, 2 and 3 particles obtained by method (3) from Room A and Room B, and Category 1, 2 and 3 particles obtained by method (2) from Room B will be allocated in the International A/O.

The nature of Category 3 particles is puzzling and still under investigation by initial analysis team and consortium team led by the curator. The results are shown in the references and the summary sheet of each sample in the catalog. The evidence that these samples are of extraterrestrial origin has not been discovered until now.

***References of Category 3 particles Analysis***

Ito et al. (2014) EPS 66:91  
Kitajima et al. (2014) EPS (accepted)  
Naraoka et al. (2014) EPS (accepted)  
Uesugi et al. (2014) EPS 66:102  
Yabuta et al. (2014) EPS 66:156

Some particles will be available as processed particles returned from previous studies. In the Initial Analysis, these particles have been subjected to X-ray CT scans, noble gas analysis, XRD/XRF analysis, SEM/TEM/EPMA analysis, SIMS analysis, a search for organic material, and so on. The results of previous studies will be described in data sheets that will be made public upon release of the AO. One data sheet is attached to each of the particles returned from previous studies. Proposals for further detailed analysis on top of the already obtained results are expected. The past analysis history of each particle is presented in the data sheets, since some analyses may constrain the scope of meaningful future analysis to be performed on some particles.

#### ***References of Initial Analysis***

Ebihara et al. (2011) Science 333, 1119-1121.  
Nakamura et al. (2011) Science 333, 1113-1116.  
Nagao et al. (2011) Science 333, 1128-1131.  
Naraoka et al. (2012) Geochemical Journal, 46, 61-72  
Noguchi et al. (2011) Science 333, 1121-1125.  
Tsuchiyama et al. (2011) Science 333, 1125-1128.  
Yurimoto et al. (2011) Science 333, 1116-1119.  
Nakamura et al. (2012) PNAS, 10.1073/pnas.1116236109  
Ebihara et al. (2015) MAPS (accepted).  
Nakamura et al. (2014) MAPS 49, 215-227.  
Noguchi et al. (2014) MAPS 49, 188-214.  
Noguchi et al. (2014) MAPS 49, 1305-1314.  
Tanaka et al. (2014) MAPS 49, 237-244  
Tsuchiyama et al. (2014) MAPS 49, 172-187.  
Wakita et al. (2014) MAPS 49, 228-236.

Other particles will be available as pristine particles. Only rather general properties known from SEM and BSE imaging results and EDX spectrum information from a few points on each particle will be available in the Sample Catalog for these particles.

The samples that show rare features are preserved for consortium studies led by JAXA and not available for this 3rd International. AO. References for the consortium studies are shown as follows.

***References of Consortium particles Analysis***

Karouji et al. (2014) MetSoc Abstract #5088.

Uesugi et al. (2014) MetSoc Abstract #5226.

Yada et al. (2013) MetSoc Abstract #5150.



## **Guidelines for Proposers**

All samples allocated for the 2nd International AO must be returned a month before the end of the sample allocation for the 3rd International AO (July 31, 2015). An otherwise successful proposal may be rejected if this condition is not met.

The HAYABUSA samples are valuable and irreplaceable samples returned from an asteroid. Since they are tiny particles that require careful and dedicated treatment, proposers must first demonstrate their capability of handling and successfully analyzing such tiny particles. The proposers must be ready to receive samples before Aug. 31, 2015, which is scheduled to be the end date of sample distribution by the Curator.

The time-consuming nature of the sample retrieval process limits the total number of particles available for the AO. The total number of samples available to investigators is not very large, so each investigator is limited to a maximum of five samples. Consortia of scientists proposing multiple analyses for individual samples are highly encouraged. In addition to describing the scientific goals and analysis plans for the samples, sample request proposals must also detail the size and number of the samples, along with specific features of the samples that are being requested. Sample requests must also detail all requirements regarding the sample transfer method.

Each particle has its own ID number indicated in the Sample Catalog so that a proposer can explicitly specify the particles requested. Proposers must also state what properties of the requested particles match their proposed investigations. Each proposer should select up to 10 sample IDs as candidates that match the requirements of the proposed investigation, in case more than one investigator requests the same particle. If all of the samples indicated in the proposal have been assigned to other proposals that have higher priorities, however, the proposal will be rejected.

Here are some notes on the Sample Catalog. The available Sample Catalog for the 3rd International AO consists of three lists. The first is the list of pristine particles in Category 1 and 2. The second one is the list of processed particles in Category 1 and 2. The third one is the list of particles in Category 3. The main table lists the basic properties of the samples including sample ID, sample size, sample category (Category 1-4, see above), mineral phase, and, for the processed particles returned from Initial Analysis, a list of the analyses to which the particle has been subjected. When a sample that matches a proposer's interest is found, the proposer should follow the links for

further information (SEM images and EDX spectrum for pristine particles, and a detailed data sheet for a processed particle returned from Initial Analysis) to complete the proposed research plan. Please refer to the SEM images and EDX spectrum carefully; do not refer only to the phase list in the Catalog.

Since the Curator is prepared to package pristine samples for transfer to an investigator's institution in a high-purity nitrogen (ppb levels of trace gases) atmosphere, samples can reach the investigator without exposure to the earth's air if necessary. However, such precautions may not be necessary for some proposed investigations. Thus sample request proposals must describe the exact environmental conditions for the sample transfer procedures to be made by the Curator. On the other hand, processed particles will be transferred to the investigator in the final condition described in the sample catalog.

A proposer who wants to have allocated samples sent with a special container must explain in the proposal why the samples should be transported with the special container. When selected, the special container must reach JAXA before July 31, 2015. JAXA that is responsible for minimizing the degradation of HAYABUSA samples will decide the sample transfer environment based on the investigator's request and the cleanliness level of the special container. If the researcher could not send the container before July 31, 2015, the JAXA standard container will be used.

An allocated sample must be returned to the Curator as soon as the proposed investigation is completed. If a proposed investigation will completely consume samples, this fact must be clearly stated in the proposal. An investigator cannot transfer samples to other investigators/institutions unless this action was described in the original approved sample request, or without explicit approval of the HAYABUSA Curator.

### **Delivery to NASA**

The delivery of HAYABUSA samples to NASA opens a research opportunity provided by NASA in parallel to JAXA's International A/O. This Committee for JAXA's A/O will communicate with NASA's allocation committee to maximize the scientific output from the HAYABUSA sample investigation as a whole. One aspect of this cooperation will be to ensure that investigators do not request HAYABUSA samples from both agencies

for the same scientific investigation.

***Record:***

Fifteen particles were allocated to NASA in December 2011.

Ten particles were allocated to NASA in January 2013.

### **Investigator's Responsibility**

HAYABUSA Sample Investigators are responsible for the security of the samples allocated to them and will be held personally accountable in the event of sample loss. Investigators must make every effort to avoid unnecessary contamination of the samples and must return allocated samples to the Curator immediately upon completion of the approved investigation. The Curator assumes one year as the standard sample allocation period but this can be modified according to the actual progress of a particular investigation, at the discretion of the Curator. The investigator who hopes to have extended period for their study must resubmit an investigation plan sheet to the Curator and receive an agreement from the Curator. Extension can be up to twice and each extension period is shorter than a year. When a proposed investigation is of consortium type that involves multiple institutes, the proposer can propose a two-year investigation plan. For such a study, a single extension for less than a year is allowed.

Investigators must report the results of their analysis to the Curator in a timely manner. This is particularly critical for samples that have not received significant characterization by the Curator.

Investigators are required to report the results of all sample analyses to the Curator very soon after completion of their investigations, even if these results are not ultimately published. Investigators must send a summary sheet reporting their investigation one year after the receipt of samples even if their study is not completed. A Sample Summary Sheet containing the minimum information that an Investigator should report to the Curator will be posted upon release of the AO. Investigators have complete control over publication, but they are requested to notify the Curator in a timely fashion of any publication that results from their analysis of the HAYABUSA samples. When it is judged useful for a future allocation, unpublished results may be added to the sample analysis report submitted to the Curator. The Curator is interested in constructing a knowledge warehouse that stores all information related to HAYABUSA Sample Investigation to aid future sample investigations. The Sample Catalog, which a proposer should carefully inspect before drafting a proposal, will be enhanced by input from the Investigators and will better serve future research opportunities by allowing more sophisticated study plans to be proposed. In their publications, proposers can include Acknowledgements in the following format.

*The Hayabusa-returned samples XXXX (sample ID) were allocated by JAXA's*

*Extraterrestrial Sample Curation Team (ESCuTe) as part of its distribution for the second international announcement of opportunity for research.*

JAXA holds a Symposium of Solar System Materials every year since 2013. Investigators must report their result or current status of their research at this symposium.

HAYABUSA Sample Investigators are responsible for arranging for the transfer of samples from ISAS to their institutions. While the Curator will prepare JAXA-standard containers for the transfer, investigators can also consult the Curator if they prefer to use their own containers. Various methods of transfer may be considered, such as hand carrying and FedEx. It is noted, however, that some sample transport routes have the risk of unintentional opening by various persons. While JAXA is willing to lend some assistance, investigators are responsible for going through all customs formalities. Investigators are requested to cover all transfer fees.

All of the terms and conditions of the HAYABUSA Sample AO are described below.